# METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR RANKING WORDS AND CONCEPTS IN A TEXT USING GRAPH-BASED

# BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5

10

15

20

25

RANKING

The present invention relates to identifying and retrieving text. More specifically, the present invention relates to identifying and retrieving text portions (or text fragments) of interest from a larger corpus of textual material by generating a graph covering the textual material and scoring portions of the graph.

There are a wide variety of applications which would benefit from the ability to identify text of interest in a larger text corpus. For instance, document clustering and document summarization both identify concepts associated with attempt to documents. Those concepts are used to cluster the documents into clusters, orto summarize the documents. In fact, some attempts have been made to both cluster documents and summarize an cluster of documents, automatically, for use in later processing (such as information retrieval).

Prior systems have attempted to order sentences based on how related they are to the concept or subject of a document. The sentences are then compressed and sometimes slightly rewritten to obtain a summary.

In the past, sentence ordering has been attempted in a number of different ways. Some prior

systems attempt to order sentences based on verb specificity. Other approaches have attempted to order sentences using heuristics that are based on the sentence position in the document and the frequency of entities identified in the sentence.

5

10

15

20

25

30

have All such prior systems For instance, all such prior systems disadvantages. are largely extractive. The systems simply extract words and sentence fragments from the documents being summarized. The words and word order are not changed. Instead, the words or sentence fragments are simply provided, as written in the original document, and in the original order that they appear in the original document, as a summary for the document. Of course, it can be difficult for humans to decipher the meaning of such text fragments.

In addition, most prior approaches have identified words or text fragments of interest by computing a score for each word in the text based on term frequency. The technique which is predominantly used in prior systems in order to compute such a score is the term frequency \* inverse document frequency (tf\*idf) function, which is well known and documented in the art. Some prior systems used minor variations of the tf\*idf function, but all algorithms using the tf\*idf class of functions are word-based.

In another area of technology, graphs have been built in order to rank web pages. The graphs are ranked using a hub and authorities algorithm that uses the web pages as nodes in the graph and links to the web page as links in the graph. Such graphing algorithms have not been applied to graph text.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5

10

15

20

25

30

The present invention is a method and system for identifying words, text fragments, or concepts of interest in a corpus of text. built which covers the corpus of text. The graph includes nodes and links, where nodes represent a word or a concept and links between the nodes represent directed relation names. A score is then computed for each node in the graph. Scores can also be computed for larger sub-graph portions of the The scores are used to graph (such as tuples). identify desired sub-graph portions of the graph, those sub-graph portions being referred to as graph fragments.

In one embodiment, a textual output is generated from the identified graph fragments. The graph fragments are provided to a text generation component that generates the textual output which is indicative of the graph fragments provided to it.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is block diagram of one illustrative environment in which the present invention can be used.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a system in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 3 is a flow diagram illustrating one embodiment of the operation of the system shown in FIG. 2.

FIG. 4 illustrates an exemplary graph generated for a sample input text.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF ILLUSTRATIVE EMBODIMENTS

The present invention relates to identifying words, text fragments, or concepts of interest in a larger corpus of text. Before describing the present invention in greater detail, one illustrative environment in which the present can be used will be described.

FIG. 1 illustrates an example of a suitable 10 which computing system environment 100 on invention may be implemented. The computing system environment 100 is only one example of a suitable computing environment and is not intended to suggest 15 any limitation as to the scope of use orfunctionality of the invention. Neither should the computing environment 100 be interpreted as having any dependency or requirement relating to any one or combination of components illustrated in the 20 exemplary operating environment 100.

The invention is operational with numerous other general purpose or special purpose computing system environments or configurations. Examples of well known computing systems, environments, and/or configurations that may be suitable for use with the invention include, but are not limited to, personal computers, server computers, hand-held or devices, multiprocessor systems, microprocessor-based set top boxes, programmable systems, consumer electronics, network PCs, minicomputers, mainframe

25

computers, distributed computing environments that include any of the above systems or devices, and the like.

invention may be described The in the general context of computer-executable instructions, 5 program modules, being executed as Generally, program modules include computer. programs, objects, components, data routines, structures, etc. that perform particular tasks or implement particular abstract data types. The 10 invention may also be practiced in distributed computing environments where tasks are performed by remote processing devices that are linked through a In a distributed computing communications network. environment, program modules may be located in both 15 local and remote computer storage media including memory storage devices.

With reference to FIG. 1, an exemplary system for implementing the invention includes a general purpose computing device in the form of a Components of computer 110 computer 110. include, but are not limited to, a processing unit 120, a system memory 130, and a system bus 121 that couples various system components including system memory to the processing unit 120. The system bus 121 may be any of several types of bus structures including a memory bus or memory controller, a peripheral bus, and a local bus using any of a variety of bus architectures. By way of example, and not limitation, such architectures include Industry

20

25

Standard Architecture (ISA) bus, Micro Channel Architecture (MCA) bus, Enhanced ISA (EISA) bus, Video Electronics Standards Association (VESA) local bus, and Peripheral Component Interconnect (PCI) bus also known as Mezzanine bus.

5

Computer 110 typically includes a variety of computer readable media. Computer readable media can be any available media that can be accessed by 110 and includes both volatile computer nonvolatile media, removable and non-removable media. 10 By way of example, and not limitation, computer readable media may comprise computer storage media Computer storage media and communication media. includes both volatile and nonvolatile, removable and non-removable media implemented in any method or 15 technology for storage of information such computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules or other data. Computer storage media includes, but is not limited to, RAM, ROM, EEPROM, flash memory or other memory technology, CD-20 ROM, digital versatile disks (DVD) or other optical disk storage, magnetic cassettes, magnetic tape, magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices, or any other medium which can be used to store the desired information and which can be 25 accessed by computer 100. Communication media typically embodies computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules or other data in a modulated data signal such as a carrier WAV or other transport mechanism and includes any information 30

delivery media. The term "modulated data signal" means a signal that has one or more of its characteristics set or changed in such a manner as to encode information in the signal. By way of example, and not limitation, communication media includes wired media such as a wired network or direct-wired connection, and wireless media such as acoustic, FR, infrared and other wireless media. Combinations of any of the above should also be included within the scope of computer readable media.

10

15

20

25

30

The system memory 130 includes computer form of volatile and/or media in the storage nonvolatile memory such as read only memory (ROM) 131 random access memory (RAM) 132. A basic and input/output system 133 (BIOS), containing the basic routines that help to transfer information between elements within computer 110, such as during startup, is typically stored in ROM 131. RAM 132 typically contains data and/or program modules that are immediately accessible to and/or presently being operated on by processing unit 120. By way o example, and not limitation, FIG. 1 illustrates operating system 134, application programs 135, other program modules 136, and program data 137.

The computer 110 may also include other removable/non-removable volatile/nonvolatile computer storage media. By way of example only, FIG. 1 illustrates a hard disk drive 141 that reads from or writes to non-removable, nonvolatile magnetic media, a magnetic disk drive 151 that reads from or writes

to a removable, nonvolatile magnetic disk 152, and an optical disk drive 155 that reads from or writes to a removable, nonvolatile optical disk 156 such as a CD ROM or other optical media. Other removable/nonvolatile/nonvolatile removable, computer media that can be used in the exemplary operating environment include, but are not limited to, magnetic tape cassettes, flash memory cards, digital versatile disks, digital video tape, solid state RAM, solid state ROM, and the like. The hard disk drive 141 is typically connected to the system bus 121 through a non-removable memory interface such as interface 140, and magnetic disk drive 151 and optical disk drive 155 are typically connected to the system bus 121 by a removable memory interface, such as interface 150.

5

10

15

20

25

30

The drives and their associated computer storage media discussed above and illustrated in FIG. 1, provide storage of computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules and other data for the computer 110. In FIG. 1, for example, hard disk drive 141 is illustrated as storing operating system 144, application programs 145, other program modules 147. Note 146, and program data that components can either be the same as or different from operating system 134, application programs 135, other program modules 136, and program data 137. Operating system 144, application programs 145, other program modules 146, and program data 147 are given different numbers here to illustrate that, at a minimum, they are different copies.

A user may enter commands and information into the computer 110 through input devices such as a keyboard 162, a microphone 163, and a pointing device 161, such as a mouse, trackball or touch pad. input devices (not shown) may include a joystick, game pad, satellite dish, scanner, or the like. These and other input devices are often connected to processing unit 120 through a user interface 160 that is coupled to the system bus, but connected by other interface be structures, such as a parallel port, game port or a universal serial bus (USB). A monitor 191 or other type of display device is also connected to the system bus 121 via an interface, such as a video interface 190. In addition to the monitor, computers may also include other peripheral output devices such speakers 197 and printer 196, which may be connected through an output peripheral interface 190.

5

10

15

The computer 110 may operate in a networked 20 environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers, such as a remote computer 180. remote computer 180 may be a personal computer, a hand-held device, a server, a router, a network PC, a peer device or other common network node, 25 typically includes many or all of the elements described above relative to the computer 110. The logical connections depicted in FIG. 1 include a local area network (LAN) 171 and a wide area network (WAN) 173, but may also include other networks. networking environments are commonplace in offices, 30

enterprise-wide computer networks, intranets and the Internet.

5

10

15

20

25

30

When used in a LAN networking environment, the computer 110 is connected to the LAN 171 through a network interface or adapter 170. When used in a networking environment, the computer typically includes a modem 172 or other means for establishing communications over the WAN 173, such as the Internet. The modem 172, which may be internal or external, may be connected to the system bus 121 via the user-input interface 160, orappropriate mechanism. In a networked environment, program modules depicted relative to the computer 110, or portions thereof, may be stored in the remote memory storage device. By way of example, and not limitation, FIG. 1 illustrates remote application programs 185 as residing on remote computer 180. will be appreciated that the network connections shown are exemplary and other means of establishing a communications link between the computers may be used.

is a block diagram of FIG. 2 a text processing system 200 in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention. Text processing system 200 can be used in a wide variety of text manipulation applications. For instance, as described in greater detail below, it can be used for clustering, document summarization, document of document clusters, summarization question answering, information retrieval, etc. For the sake

simplicity, the present invention will of described in terms of cluster summarization. However, the invention is not to be so limited. System 200 includes graph builder 202, scoring 204, optional discourse planning system component sub-graph extraction component 206 FIG. 3 is a flow diagram generation component 208. illustrating the operation of system 200 shown in FIG. 2.

5

20

25

In operation, graph builder 202 first receives input text 210. This is indicated by block 212 in FIG. 3. Input text 210 can, for example, be a text corpus comprised of one or more documents. In the case where system 200 is used to summarize document clusters, then the input text 210 is a set of documents which have been previously clustered using any known clustering system.

In any case, graph builder 202 receives input text 210 and builds a graph 214 that covers the entire input text 210. This is illustratively done by first building graphs for the individual sentences in input text 210. The individual graphs are then connected together to form the overall graph 214. doing this, the individual graphs are collapsed in that words or concepts in the individual graphs will correspond to a single node in the overall graph 214, no matter how many times they occur in the individual graphs. Generating the overall graph 214 is indicated by block 216 in FIG.

30 3. In one illustrative embodiment, graph 214

includes nodes and links. The nodes represent a word, event, entity or concept in input text 210, and the links between the nodes represent directed relation names. In one embodiment, a certain set of words can be excluded from graph 214. Such words are commonly referred to as stop words.

illustrative embodiment, In one builder 202 is implemented by a natural language processing system that produces an abstract analysis of input text 210. The abstract analysis normalizes surface word order, assigns relation names using function words (such as "be", "have", "with", etc.). The natural language processing system comprising 202 also perform anaphora graph builder can resolution that resolves both pronominal and lexical noun phrase co-reference. One embodiment of such an abstract analysis of input text 210 is referred to as form, and one suitable system for logical generating the abstract analysis (the logical form) set out in U.S. Patent No. 5,966,686 issued 1999, entitled METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR October 12, COMPUTING SEMANTIC LOGICAL FORMS FROM SYNTAX TREES. The logical forms are directed acyclic graphs that cover the input text for each sentence. The graphs for each sentence are illustratively connected to one another into a larger graph 214 that covers the entire input text 210.

10

15

20

25

30

Of course, graph builder 202 can be another suitable system as well. For instance, graph builder 202 can be configured to produce a syntactic parse of

each input sentence in input text 210 and then produce a dependency tree given the syntactic parse. A graph is then illustratively constructed from the dependency tree. Alternatively, graph builder 202 can construct graph 214 for input text 210 by defining pairs of adjacent or co-located words as the nodes in the graph and by positing a link between the nodes where the directionality of the link is either assigned arbitrarily or computed given the parts of speech of the nodes. This can be done either using heuristic or machine-learned methods.

5

10

15

20

25

30

In any case, once graph builder 202 has generated graph 214 from input text 210, nodes or sub-graph components of graph 214 are scored by scoring component 204. This is indicated by block 218 in FIG. 3. In one illustrative embodiment, a publicly available graph ranking algorithm is used for scoring the nodes in graph 214. One example of such a publicly available graph ranking algorithm is referred to as the Hub and Authorities Algorithm by John Kleinberg (see: Authoritative sources in a hyperlinked environment. Proc. 9th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, 1998. Extended version in Journal of the ACM 46(1999). Also appears as IBM Research Report RJ 10076, May 1997.), which has been used, for example, to rank web pages as set out in Sergey Brin and Lawrence Page. The anatomy of a largescale hypertextual Web search engine. In Ashman and Thistlewaite [2], pages 107--117. Brisbane, Australia. Briefly, such an algorithm takes the directionality of links in the graph into account in order to produce the ranking. Each node in the graph receives a weight according to how many nodes link to it, and according to how many nodes the given node links to. The output of the algorithm is a score for each node in the graph. The score for a node can be used in place of a score computed using term frequency, for example, in text manipulation applications such as information retrieval, question answering, clustering, summarization, etc.

5

10

15

20

25

Once the scores for the nodes are computed, scores for tuples in graph 214 can be calculated. tuple includes sub-graph components of graph 214 of the form nodeB→relation→nodeA, where node A is referred to as the target node in the tuple and node B is referred to as the initial node in the tuple. In one illustrative embodiment, the score for each tuple is a function of all the scores for nodes linking to node A, the score of node B, and the frequency count of the given tuple in the text corpus 210. The score for each tuple can be used in substantially any application that calls for matching tuples. However, it is described herein with respect to document summarization only, for the sake of simplicity.

In accordance with one embodiment of the present invention, the specific calculation of a tuple score only weights tuples with respect to the target node. For instance, in the tuple

nodeB→relation→nodeA, the weight of the tuple is calculated with respect to all the other nodes pointing to node A, and not with respect to other tuples or other nodes. One example of a specific formula used to do this is as follows:

#### Eq. 1

5

25

TupleScore(nodeB→relation→nodeA) = NodeScore(B) \*
Count(nodeB→relation→nodeA) / Sum(For all nodes X

10 and relations R such that nodeX→R→nodeA|
NodeScore(X) \* Count(nodeX→R→nodeA)).

Where TupleScore() indicates the score of the given tuple;

NodeScore() indicates the score of the given node; and

Count() is the frequency of the identified tuple in the input text.

Of course, other scoring mechanisms and 20 equations can be used as well.

Both the scores generated by scoring component 204 and the graph 214 are provided to subgraph extraction component 206. Sub-graph extraction component 206 uses high scoring nodes and tuples corresponding to graph 214 to identify important subgraphs generated from input text 210. The sub-graphs are then extracted based on the NodeScores and TupleScores. The sub-graphs can also be ranked by sub-graph extraction component 206 based on their

corresponding scores. Extraction of graph fragments corresponding to high scoring nodes and sub-graphs, and ranking the graph fragments based on the scores is indicated by blocks 220 and 222 in FIG. 3. The ranked graph fragments provided by component 206 are indicated by block 224 in FIG. 2.

5

10

15

20

25

30

The graph fragments can be extracted in different ways. For instance, they can be extracted from the individual graphs (or logical forms) generated from the individual sentences in the input text 210, and that spawned the high scoring nodes and tuples in overall graph 214. Alternatively, they can be extracted directly from overall graph 214.

In one illustrative embodiment, sub-graph extraction component 206 identifies the important sub-graphs by matching logical forms generated from input text 210 with the high scoring nodes and tuples. By "high scoring", it is meant that a threshold may be empirically determined and nodes and tuples having a score that meets the threshold are identified as high scoring. Further, each sub-graph can be further investigated in order to extract additional high scoring nodes that are linked to that sub-graph. This process is illustratively iterated, using the high scoring tuple as an anchor, for every high scoring node that the sub-graph can link to.

In addition, nodes in the logical form can be related to another node. This can happen, for example, through pro-nominalization or by virtue of referring to the same entity or event. For instance, the term "General Augusto Pinochet" and "Pinochet" are related by virtue of referring to the same entity. These related nodes, in one illustrative embodiment can also be used during the matching process.

5

10

15

20

25

30

In addition, in an illustrative embodiment, certain relations and their values given a specific node type can be extracted as part of the matching sub-graph. For example, for the node type that corresponds to an event, the nuclear arguments of the event (such as the subject and/or object links, if present) can also be retained as part of the matching sub-graph. This improves the coherence of the subgraph, especially in the embodiment in which the goal of identifying the sub-graph is to pass it to a generation component.

The entire sub-graph matched as described above is referred to as a graph fragment. In one illustrative embodiment, a cut-off threshold is used to determine a minimum score that will be used for matching, and the graph fragments that score above the minimum are kept for further processing.

In one illustrative embodiment, the graph fragments 224 are ordered according to the node and tuple score and are provided to generation component 208 which produces a natural language output for the graph fragments 224.

Alternatively, in one embodiment, optional discourse planning system 205 is also provided. Planning system 205 receives graph fragments 224 and

produces an optimal ordering of the graph fragments not only taking into account the node and tuple scores for the graph fragments, but also accounting for the placement of similar nodes, and the order in which two nodes (related through part of speech) occur, and high level considerations, such as event timeline, topic and focus, etc. For instance, assume that three sentences (S1, S2 and S3) are to be generated, and if only scores were considered, the However, if sentence order would be S1 S2 S3. sentences S1 and S3 both mention the same entity, the planning system 205 will produce S1 S3 S2, and may also replace the entity in S3 with a pronoun, or sentences S1 and S3 may be combined into one longer Grouping sentences that involve common sentence. nodes increases the readability of the generated summary.

5

10

15

20

25

30

Similarly, assume that two sentences S1 and S2 both mention, for example, the words "arrest", but it is used in S1 as a noun and in S2 as a verb. Planning system 205 re-orders the sentence to S2 S1. This produces a summary that mentions, for example "X got arrested yesterday..." and then "the arrest...", which again increases readability of the generated summary.

In any case, based on the additional considerations, planning system 205 reorders the graph fragments 224 and provides them as re-ordered graph fragments 225 to generation component 208. The optional step of reordering graph fragments with

discourse planning system 205 is indicated by block 224 in FIG. 3.

A set of graph fragments are provided to generation component 208. Generation component 208 can then generate output text 226 based on the graph fragments received. This is indicated by block 228 in FIG. 3.

5

The generation component 208 must simply be consistent with the type of graph fragment it is receiving. Component 208 can be rules-based, such as 10 found in Aikawa, T., M. Melero, L. Schwartz, and A. (2001). Multilingual Sentence Generation, Proceedings of 8th European Workshop on Natural Language Generation, Toulouse, and Aikawa, T., M. Melero, L. Schwartz, and A. Wu. (2001). Sentence 15 Generation for Multilingual Machine Translation, Proceedings of the MT Summit VIII, Santiago Compostela, Spain.. It can also be machine-learned, such as found in Gamon, M., E. Ringger, and S. 20 Corston-Oliver. 2002. Amalgam: A machine-learned generation module. Microsoft Research Technical Report: MSR-TR-2002-57

At this point, an example may be useful.

Assume input text 210 includes the following group of

sentences:

Pinochet was reported to have left London Bridge Hospital on Wednesday.

President Eduardo Frei Ruiz\_Tagle said that Pinochet, now an unelected senator for life, carried a diplomatic passport giving him legal immunity.

The arrest of Gen. Augusto Pinochet shows the growing significance of international human rights law.

- Former Chilean dictator Gen. Augusto Pinochet has been arrested by British police, despite protests from Chile that he is entitled to diplomatic immunity.
- The individual graphs (logical forms) for each individual sentence are as follows:

Pinochet was reported to have left London Bridge Hospital on Wednesday.

```
15
    report2 ({Verb} (.))
              X2 ({Pron})
      Tsub
              leave2 ({Verb})
      Tobj
                Time
                         Wednesday2 ({Noun} {on})
20
                Tsub
                         Pinochet2 ({Noun})
                         London Bridge Hospital2 ({Noun})
                Tobj
                           PLACENAME London1 ({Noun})
                           PLACETYPE bridge1 ({Noun})
                           PLACETYPE hospital1 ({Noun})
                           FactHyp hospital2 ({Noun})
25
```

President Eduardo Frei Ruiz\_Tagle said that Pinochet, now an unelected senator for life, carried a 30 diplomatic passport giving him legal immunity.

```
say1 ({Verb} (.))
           Tsub
                   President Eduardo Frei Ruiz Tagle1
         ({Noun})
                            president1 ({Noun})
35
                      TITLE
                      FIRSTNAME Eduardo1 ({Noun})
                     LASTNAME Freil ({Noun})
                     LASTNAME Ruiz Tagle1 ({Noun})
                      FactHyp person1 ({Noun})
                   carry1 ({Verb})
40
           Tobj
                              Pinochet2 ({Noun})
                      Tsub
                                Appostn senator2 ({Noun})
                                           Time
                                                   now1
         ({Adv})
```

```
Attrib
          unelected2 ({Adj})
                                                    life1
                                            for
          ({Noun})
                      Tobj
                              passport1 ({Noun})
 5
                                Attrib diplomatic1
          ({Adj})
                                         give1 ({Verb})
                                           Tsub
10
         passport1
                                           Tobj
          immunity1 ({Noun})
          Attrib legal1 ({Adj})
.15
                                           Tind
                                                   he1
          ({Pron})
     The arrest of Gen. Augusto Pinochet shows the growing
     significance of international human_rights law.
20
     show2 ({Verb} (.))
               arrest3 ({Noun})
       Tsub
                         Gen._Augusto_Pinochet3 ({Noun})
                 Possr
                           TITLE Gen.1 ({Noun})
                           FIRSTNAME Augusto1 ({Noun})
25
                           LASTNAME Pinochet1 ({Noun})
                           FactHyp person1 ({Noun})
               significance3 ({Noun})
       Tobj
                         grow3 ({Verb})
                 Attrib
                                    significance3
                           Tsub
30
                         law3 ({Noun})
                 of
                                   human rights3 ({Noun})
                           Mod
                                      Attrib
     international3 ({Adj})
35
     Former Chilean dictator Gen. Augusto Pinochet has
    been arrested by British police, despite protests
     from Chile that he is entitled to diplomatic
     immunity.
40
     arrest2 ({Verb} (.))
               police3 ({Noun})
       Tsub
                 Attrib British3 ({Adj})
                 despite protest2 ({Noun})
45
```

```
Props
                                   entitle1 ({Verb})
                                          _X1 ({Pron})
                                     Tsub
                                             he1 ({Pron})
                                     Tobj
                                     to
    diplomatic immunity1 ({Noun})
5
                                  Chile2 ({Noun} {from})
                           Source
              dictator2 ({Noun})
      Tobi
                Appostn Gen. Augusto Pinochet2 ({Noun})
                                  Gen.1 ({Noun})
                           TITLE
                           FIRSTNAME Augusto1 ({Noun})
10
                           LASTNAME Pinochet1 ({Noun})
                           FactHyp person1 ({Noun})
                Attrib Chilean2 ({Adj})
                        former2 ({Adj})
15
              FIG. 4 illustrates a graph 300 centered on
    the node for "Pinochet", connecting the nodes from
    the logical forms for the input sentences. Graph 300
    is also represented virtually as follows:
20
      leave2 ({Verb})
                        Pinochet2 ({Noun})
                Tsub
                Tobj
                        London Bridge Hospital2 ({Noun})
25
      carry1 ({Verb})
                Tsub
                        Pinochet2 ({Noun})
                        passport1 ({Noun})
                Tobj
                          Attrib diplomatic1 ({Adj})
30
      Pinochet2 ({Noun})
                 Appostn senator2 ({Noun})
35
      give1 ({Verb})
            Tsub
                   passport1
                    immunity1 ({Noun})
            Tobj
                    hel ({Pron} Refs: Pinochet)
            Tind
    show2 ({Verb} (.))
40
          Tsub arrest3 ({Noun})
```

```
Possr Gen. Augusto Pinochet3
    ({Noun})
                 significance3 ({Noun})
         Tobi
5
    arrest2 ({Verb} (.))
              police3 ({Noun})
      Tsub
              dictator2 ({Noun})
      Tobj
                Appostn Gen. Augusto Pinochet2 ({Noun})
10
    entitle1 ({Verb})
         Tsub
                 X1 ({Pron})
                 hel ({Pron} Refs: Pinochet)
         Tobj
                 diplomatic immunity1 ({Noun})
15
    dictator2 ({Noun})
         Appostn Gen. Augusto Pinochet2 ({Noun})
     Gen. Augusto Pinochet3 ({Noun})
20
              TITLE Gen.1 ({Noun})
              FIRSTNAME Augustol ({Noun})
              LASTNAME Pinochet1 ({Noun})
              FactHyp person1 ({Noun})
25
    It can be seen that the nodes in graph 300 that link
    to Pinochet are the following:
30
           leave2 ({Verb})
                     Tsub
                              Pinochet2 ({Noun})
           carry1 ({Verb})
                     Tsub
                             Pinochet2 ({Noun})
35
    Note that anaphora resolution is used to resolve "he"
    to "Pinochet"
      qivel ({Verb})
                    hel ({Pron} Refs: Pinochet)
40
      arrest3 ({Noun})
```

```
Possr Gen._Augusto_Pinochet3 ({Noun})
```

Note that the Appostn relation is "unpacked" to result in two (or however many Appostns there are) links. So that from this Logical Form, in addition to the link "arrest—Tobj-dictator", the link "arrest - Tobj - Gen.\_Augusto\_Pinochet" is also identified.

Note that anaphora resolution is used to 20 resolve "he" to "Pinochet"

```
entitle1 ({Verb})
     Tobj he1 ({Pron} Refs: Pinochet)
```

It can also be seen that the nodes that Pinochet links to are the following:

```
Pinochet2 ({Noun})

Appostn senator2 ({Noun})

dictator2 ({Noun})

Appostn Gen. Augusto Pinochet2 ({Noun})
```

35

Note that this last logical form indicates the "similar word" concept discussed above, in that if the node under consideration is

Gen.\_Augusto\_Pinochet, the node "Pinochet" is also included. This is based on the LASTNAME reln:

```
Gen._Augusto_Pinochet3 ({Noun})

TITLE Gen.1 ({Noun})

FIRSTNAME Augusto1 ({Noun})

LASTNAME Pinochet1 ({Noun})

FactHyp person1 ({Noun})
```

The following node scores show an example of just a portion of the entire graph for this cluster, so the scores are indicative rather than exact:

15 Pinochet Noun 8.86931560843612 arrest Noun 5.65798261000217 dictator Noun 4.66735025856776 leave Verb 3.19016764263043 show Verb 3.05887157398304 20 arrest Verb 2.99724084165062 immunity Noun 2.61908266128404 give Verb 2.59211486749912 police Noun 2.23721253134214 Gen. Augusto\_Pinochet\_\_Noun 2.14890018458375 25 senator Noun 1.99746859744986 diplomatic immunity Noun 1.52760640157329 carry\_\_Verb 1.4547668737008 passport Noun 1.08547333802503 diplomatic Adj 0.949668310003334 30 entitle Verb 0.760364251949961 significance\_\_Noun 0.518215630826775

London Bridge Hospital Noun 0.493827515638096

The following are exemplary tuple scores. Note that the scores are with respect to the left node, so "arrest Possr Pinochet" has a higher score than "arrest Tsub police", but nothing inferred from the weight as to whether "arrest\_\_Tsub\_\_police" higher/lower scores than "carry Tobj passport".

- arrest\_\_Noun Possr Pinochet\_\_Noun 0.9674310
  arrest\_\_Verb Tobj Pinochet\_\_Noun 0.9137349
  arrest\_\_Verb Tsub police\_\_Noun 0.5801700
  carry\_\_Verb Tsub Pinochet\_\_Noun 0.9916259
  carry\_\_Verb Tobj passport\_\_Noun 0.7846062
- entitle\_\_Verb Tobj Pinochet\_\_Noun 0.9956231 entitle\_\_Verb "to" diplomatic\_immunity\_\_Noun 0.8876522
  - Gen.\_Augusto\_Pinochet\_\_Noun Appostn dictator\_\_Noun
    0.7838148
- give\_\_Verb Tind Pinochet\_\_Noun 0.8829976
  give\_\_Verb Tsub passport\_\_Noun 0.8081048
  give\_\_Verb Tobj immunity\_\_Noun 0.5551054
  leave\_\_Verb Tsub Pinochet\_\_Noun 0.9449093
  leave\_\_Verb Tobj London\_Bridge\_Hospital\_\_Noun
- passport\_\_Noun Attrib diplomatic\_\_Adj 0.3981289
  Pinochet\_\_Noun Appostn senator\_\_Noun 0.5996584
  show\_\_Verb Tsub arrest\_\_Noun 0.9343253
  show Verb Tobj significance Noun 0.1478469

The fragments are ranked by scores. In this example, fragments chosen rooted in Verb part of speech are ordered before fragments chosen rooted in Noun part of speech.

Note that Time and Tobj are also selected to be part of the graph fragment because they are both nuclear arguments to "leave", even though "London\_Bridge\_Hospital" itself is a low-scoring tuple.

1. leave ({Verb}3.19016764263043)
Time Wednesday ({Noun} {on})
Tsub Pinochet ({Noun})
Tobj London\_Bridge\_Hospital ({Noun})

Note that "significant" is selected because it is a nuclear argument. Because "significance" is Noun, but with event properties, we also select arguments for the noun (Attrrib and "of")

Attrib grow ({Verb})

Tsub significance

Note that this is the tuple score for "arrest Tobj Pinochet" but "dictator" and "Pinochet" are the same entity, as identified through coreference

3. arrest ({Verb}2.99724084165062)

30

Tsub police ({Noun})
Tobj dictator ({Noun})
Locn London ({Noun})

Note that this is an example of a noun phrase that is available for expanding nodes in the graphs when the high-scoring events have either been used or when the weight limits have been reached.

10 4. Pinochet ({Noun}8.86931560843612)

Appostn senator ({Noun})

Attrib unelected ({Adj})

20

30

The following are examples of re-ordering and grouping similar/same nodes together when the optional planning system 205 is used:

The following shows Combining graph-fragments 1 and 4 since they both share the node for "Pinochet":

The following shows reordering of graph-fragments 2 and 3 to reflect the preferred ordering of the same nodes with different parts of speech as Verb first, then Noun:

## Locn London ({Noun})

show ({Verb}) Tsub arrest ({Noun}) 5 Possr Gen. Augusto Pinochet ({Noun}) significance ({Noun}) Tobj Attrib grow ({Verb}) significance 10 Tsub ({Noun}) ofhuman rights ({Noun}) Attrib international ({Adj})

15

The following illustrates generation output 226. In this example, during generation, referring expression is chosen for generation. most specific referring Typically, that is the 20 expression first (Gen. Augusto Pinochet), a short form second (Pinochet), followed by pronominalization if it is in a nuclear argument position. Therefore, one embodiment of generation output 226 is as follows:

25

Gen. Augusto Pinochet, an unelected senator, left London Bridge Hospital on Wednesday.

Pinochet has been arrested in London by the police.

30

His arrest shows the growing significance of international human rights.

It can thus be seen that the present invention provides distinct advantages over the prior art. The present invention ranks events based on a graph generated from the input text. This has been found to be more accurate when deciding what to

include in a summary than word frequency-based approaches. Another aspect of the invention generates a summary given ranked graph fragments. This provides better coherence and readability than sentence extraction or compression for multi-document summaries.

5

Of course, it will also be appreciated that the present invention can be used in a wide variety other applications as well. For instance, identifying words or text fragments or events in an 10 input text by generating a graph for the input text and then calculating a score for the components of the graph is useful in many situations. It can be used, for example, when attempting to identify a relationship between two textual inputs, 15 such as information retrieval, indexing, document clustering, question answering, etc. In those instances, the scores for words or tuples of a first input are compared against the scores for words or tuples of a 20 second input to determine the relationship between In information retrieval, a first the two inputs. input is a query and the second input is either an index or a document being compared to the query. question answering, the first input is a question and 25 the second input is text being examined to determine whether it answers the question. In document clustering, the two inputs are documents or summaries thereof, or summaries of clusters. Similarly, the scores generated for the graph that covers the input text can be used in determining which terms in the 30

document are used for indexing the input text, as well as any weights calculated for those terms.

Of course, the present invention can also be used as described to generate output text corresponding to the input text. The text can be a summary of a single document, the summary of a cluster, etc. Thus, while the present invention has been described primarily with respect to document summarization, the invention has wide applicability and is not to be limited to summarization.

10

15

Although the present invention has been described with reference to particular embodiments, workers skilled in the art will recognize that changes may be made in form and detail without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.